

## LOUISIANA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002 Uncontrol	FY 2002 Program	FY 2002
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
05 Cane River Creole NHP	766,000	679,000	22,000	0	701,000
* Jean Lafitte NHP & Preserve	4,364,000	4,447,000	114,000	0	4,561,000
02 New Orleans Jazz NHP	523,000	528,000	11,000	0	539,000

\* Congressional districts for Jean Lafitte NHP & Preserve include 02, 03, 06 and 07.

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**LOUISIANA**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

**Park Area**

**Type of Project**

**Funds**

Cane River Creole NHP

Preserve/stabilize Oakland Plantation structures

\$1,983

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$820

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$7,039

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**

<b>National Park Service</b> <b>PROJECT DATA SHEET</b>		<b>Priority:</b> 33	
		<b>Planned Funding Year:</b> 2002	
		<b>Funding Source:</b> Line Item Construction	
<b>Project Title:</b> Preserve and Stabilize Oakland Plantation Structures			
<b>Project No:</b> CARI 106		<b>Park Name:</b> Cane River Creole National Historical Park	
<b>Region:</b> Southeast		<b>Congressional District:</b> 04	<b>State:</b> Louisiana
<b>Project Description:</b> This project is required to preserve, stabilize, rehabilitate, and prepare for visitor use 23 historic structures and the cultural landscape at Oakland Plantation Unit of Cane River Creole National Historical Park. The period of significance is from the antebellum period up to the 1940s. Cane River Creole National Historical Park includes two nationally significant plantations. Preservation treatments are required for these structures which received many years of neglect and insect infestation under private ownership. Public access to the buildings is highly restricted or not permitted. The buildings harbor vermin, poisonous snakes and insects, and they potentially harbor diseases resulting from vermin infestation including hantavirus, histoplasmosis and other diseases. These cultural resources are nationally significant to telling the story of Creole life and architecture and the total story of life on the plantation (small communities) in the rural south.			
<b>Project Justification:</b> The level of preservation treatments required for these structures varies from stabilization, preservation, and rehabilitation. Basic preservation treatments need to be performed for these structures to protect, repair, and restore missing, detached, weather damage, and deteriorated architectural elements; rodent infestation; and insect infestation/damage. Rehabilitation would involve providing additional services such as electricity, lighting protection, structural repairs, new/improved foundations, painting or whitewashing, and reestablishing missing features. This work would also make the structures accessible thus enhancing the visitor experience as part of the interpretive program. Without the project, park operations would continue with tents to shelter maintenance and shop equipment, and visitor services would continue to be primitive and highly restricted for health and safety reasons.			
<b>Ranking Categories</b>			
25% Critical Health or Safety Deferred		0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	
10% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement		0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance	
50% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance		0% Other Capital Improvement	
15% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:		NO: X	Total Project Score: 780
<b>Project Cost and Status</b>			
Project Cost Estimate	\$	%	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	1,487,250	75	Appropriated to Date: \$0
Capital Improvement Work:	495,750	25	Requested in FY Budget 2002: \$1,983,000
Total Project Estimate:	1,983,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2002 : \$1,983,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project: \$0
			Total: \$1,983,000
Class of Estimate: C		Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001
<b>Dates (Qtr/Year)</b>			
	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	4th/2001		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 12, 2001